# ACCT2121 2011-2012 2<sup>nd</sup> Semester Suggested Solution

## Problem 1

1a)

Predetermined budgeted overhead rate: 3,000,000/600,000 = \$5/unit

1b)

	Dark Sweet	Dark Beauty
	\$	\$
Direct Material	4.2	3.2
Direct Labor	0.3	0.3
Overhead	1.5	1.5
	6	5

2a)

Purchasing	\$579,000/1,158=	\$500 per purchase order
Materials handling	\$720,000/1,800 =	\$400 per setup
Quality Control	\$144,000/720 =	\$200 per batch
Roasting	\$961,000/96,100 =	\$10 per roasting-hour
Blending	\$336,000/33,600 =	\$10 per blending-hour
Packaging	\$260,000/\$26,000 =	\$10 per packaging-hour

2b)

	Dark Sweet		Dark Beauty	
	\$		\$	
Purchasing	2,000	(500x4)	2,000	(500x4)
Materials handling	12,000	(400x30)	4,800	(400x12)
Quality Control	2,000	(200x10)	800	(200x4)
Roasting	10,000	(100,000/100x10)	200	(2,000/100x10)
Blending	5,000	(100,000/100x0.5x10)	100	(2,000/100x0.5x10)
Packaging	1,000	(100,000/100x0.1x10)	20	(2,000/100x0.1x10)
	32,000		7,920	
Product volume	100,000		2,000	
cost per pound	0.32	-	3.96	

#### 2c)

Total manufacturing cost: Dark Sweet= \$4.2+ 0.3+ 0.32= \$4.82/pound Dark Beauty= \$3.2+ 0.3+ 3.96= \$7.46/pound

3)

- Product cost subsidization
- Dark Beauty's product cost is undervalued
- Dark Sweet's product cost is overvalued
- Produce more Dark Sweet as it is more cost-saving
- Redesign the production process of Dark beauty to reduce cost

## Problem 2

- Direct manufacturing labor price variance: =(SR - AR) × AH =[\$(311,402/37,700)-8.2]x 37,700 =\$2,262 (U)
- 2) Direct manufacturing labor efficiency variance:
  =(SH AH) × SR
  =(37,700- 6,400x6)x \$8.2
  =\$5,740 (F)
- 3) Direct material efficiency variance: \$1,500 (U)
  Let Y be theActual kilogram of material used.
  (Y 6400x8) x\$5 =1500
  Actual kilogram of material used= 51,500 kg
- 4) Actual price/ kilogram of direct material
   =\$255,440/ 51,500kg
   =\$4.96/kg
- 5) Total amount of DM & DL transferred to finished good inventory
   =\$255,440+ 311,402
   =\$566,842

## Problem 3

- Total cash collection:
   \$290,000x 80% + 20,000 + 24,000/2
   =\$264,000
- 2) Total cash disbursement:
   \$192,000x 0.25 + 145,000 + 36,000 + 5,000 + 10,000 + 4,000
   =\$248,000
- 3) Ending balance:
   \$15,000 + 264,000 248,000
   =\$31,000

### Problem 4

- a) Total manufacturing overhead cost allocated
   \$2,145,000/ 1,950,000 x 170,625
   =\$187,687.5
- b) Variable manufacturing overhead spending variance
   =\$(37,375 + 55,000) (0.23+ 0.31) x 170,625
   =\$237.5 (U)
- c) Fixed manufacturing overhead spending variance
   =\$(26,000 + 27,500 + 40,625) (27,625 + 22750 + 40625)
   =\$3125 (U)
- d) Variance manufacturing overhead efficiency variance
   =\$(170,625-1,950,000/390,000x 35750) x (\$0.23 + 0.31)
   =\$4,387.5 (F)
- e) Production volume variance
  \$(27,625 + 22750 +40,625) (1,950,000/390,000x 35,750) x (0.17 + 0.14 + 0.25)
  =\$91,000 100,100
  =\$9100 (F)

#### Problem 5

1)	Full cost	\$
	Direct material	80
	Direct Labor	40
	Manufacturing support	160

Marketing cost	70
Full cost	350
45% mark up	157.5
Selling price	507.5
2)	\$
Selling Price	507.5
Less: variable cost	
Direct material	<80>
Direct labor	<40>
Manufacturing support	<72>
Marketing cost	<24.5>
Contribution margin	291

3) Minimum acceptable price
 =\$80 + 40 + 72
 =\$192

4) Change in operating profit = increase in contribution margin =\$(360 - 192) x 1,000
=\$168,000

- 5) Yes
  - Profitable
  - Can build customer relationship

Part II)

Relevant per unit cost: Direct Materials Direct manufacturing Labor Variable manufacturing overhead

	<u>Accept</u>	<u>Not accept</u>
	\$	\$
Total production cost	<1200,000>	<960,000>
Expected revenue	180,000	
Elimination of fixed MOH	200,000	
Relevant cost	<820000>	<960,000>

. Accept offer because lower cost is incurred.

All solutions are for reference only. CUSA does not guarantee the accuracy of thecontents